

Max-Planck-Institut für Biochemie



MAX PLANCK SOCIETY

Machine learning for single particles

Christoph Best Max Planck Institut für Biochemie Martinsried, Germany

Berkeley, November 17, 2006

Particle picking

- ▷ Problem:
 - Views are randomly distributed on images
 - Must pick regions with particles from image
- Difficulty: high noise → simple template matching does not work
- ▷ Approach:

Initial picks by linear correlation

Use a Support Vector Machine (SVM) to select for correct particles according to a manually chosen data set

Picking by template matching



Picking by linear correlation

many mis-picks

Apply SVM to pixel vector (reduced) of the images

Coloring: training data set

Support Vector Machines



From Duda et al., Pattern Classification

Machine Learning:

• Training (vs rules)

Support Vector Machine:

- Linear classifier
- Extended to higher polynomials
- Efficient calculation of the separating hyperplane by duality transform

Non-linearity

Linearly inseperable

Linearly separable after introduction of pseudo-variable





Improving picking using SVMs



Improving picking using SVMs



Picking result



Sorting views into angles



Tripeptidyl-peptidase II (TPP II)

courtesy of B. Rockel, Martinsried



Sorting views into angles

▷ Problem:

How can we sort the views of a particle according to the viewing angle (elevation, azimuth) ?

▷ Answer:

Similar angles → similar images

- Does not require any knowledge about the actual 3D model!
- \triangleright HOW?

Parameter estimation in a probabilistic model

What are Bayesian models?

- \triangleright Probability distribution \leftrightarrow Belief about reality
- What do we know?

Images that correspond to nearby viewing angles should be similar

b This can be expressed by a probability distribution

 $P(M | \phi)$

"Belief that images M are compatible with the angular assignments ϕ "

Building block:

 $P(M | \phi; M_{0,} \phi_0)$

"Belief that two images are compatible with each other given their angular assignment"

Model-free particle classification

Probabilistic model:

$$P(M|\phi; M_0, \phi_0) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\kappa(|\phi - \phi_0|)}\right)^{D/2} \exp\left(-\frac{|M - M_0|^2}{2\kappa(|\phi - \phi_0|)^2}\right)$$

Angular distance-to-similarity kernel

▷ Probability for an image M given an assigned angle ϕ , a reference image $M^{(0)}$, and a reference angle $\phi^{(0)}$:

Gaussian with a width that gets wider when the images are farther apart.

Parameter estimation

▷ Problem:

We **do** know the images – why would we care about their probability distribution?

Bayesian parameter estimation:

$$P(M|\phi) \Leftrightarrow P(\phi|M)$$
 Images
Viewing angles

- This is done using Bayes' formula
- Simplified version: Maximum-likelihood estimation

 $\phi = \max \mathbf{P}(M|\phi)$

The best angular assignments are those which make the images most probable

Self-organizing point map

> Joint probability distribution:

$$P(\{M^{(n)}\}|\{\phi^{(n)}\}) = \prod_{i=1}^{N} P(M^{(i)}|\{M^{(i')}, \phi^{(i')}\})$$

▷ Maximum-likelihood principle → Hamiltonian:

$$\begin{aligned} -\ln L(\phi) &= \\ &\sum_{n,m} \left(\frac{D}{2} \ln 2\pi \kappa (|\phi^{(n)} - \phi^{(m)}|) + \frac{|M^{(n)} - M^{(m)}|^2}{2\kappa (|\phi^{(n)} - \phi^{(m)}|)^2} \right) \\ & \text{Attractive force} \end{aligned}$$

Point-to-point potential → multidimensional scaling

Gradient descent solution

Optimization process



"Spring embedding"

Attractive and repulsive "force" between points (=images)

Minimum (=optimum) is determined by how similar the images appear

Similarity matrix

ж ы ж	жж.							
ALC: ALC: ALC: ALC: ALC: ALC: ALC: ALC:								
And A	Aug.	1						
			Carl				and the second s	ar contact
A.A.			Cart		\$	P Con	1	\mathbb{C}^{r}
		10	1	1			-	
		•	٠	٠	٠		٠	
•	•	٠	٠	•	٠	•	•	•

Pairwise correlation max. over translations and rotations 9x9 projections of TPP2

Correlation matrix:



Result



Good representation of original distribution of viewing angles

Good as an initial model for iterative refinement

Tomographic classification

